

POLITICAL & CULTURAL SYNTHESIS: A NEW PARADIGM OF SOUTHEAST ASIA

By Animesh Manna

*Assistant Professor, Shyampur Siddheswari Mahavidyalaya, Dankuni, Hooghly, West Bengal,
India*

DOI: doi.org/10.55662/AJMRR.2023.4302

ABSTRACT

According to Croissant & Lorenz, Southeast Asia as a region is an extraordinarily diverse collection of states, which vary widely in history, demographics, culture, economy, political systems, and the political challenges they face. Geographically, Southeast Asia is divided into an insular or maritime region, comprising Brunei, Indonesia, parts of Malaysia, the Philippines, and Timor-Leste, and a mainland or continental part, including Burma, Cambodia, Laos, the Malay Peninsula, and Vietnam (Croissant & Lorenz, 2018). Political and cultural synthesis can be seen in the way that Southeast Asian states have adapted to various external influences and internal challenges over time. Political and cultural synthesis can also be seen in the way that Southeast Asian societies have developed their forms of nationalism, identity, and civil society that reflect their diverse ethnic, religious, and linguistic backgrounds. The paper argues that political and cultural synthesis is a key feature and process of Southeast Asia, which is the result of the historical interactions and influences among different religions, cultures, and regions within and outside Asia. The paper analyzes various examples of cultural synthesis in Southeast Asian literature and cinema, and how they reflect and contribute to the diversity and complexity of the region. The text argues that this is a key feature and process of Southeast Asia, which is the result of the historical interactions and influences among different religions, cultures, and regions within and outside Asia. The text analyzes various examples of cultural synthesis in Southeast Asian literature and cinema, and how they reflect and contribute to the diversity and complexity of the region. Southeast Asia is divided into an insular or maritime region, comprising Brunei, Indonesia, parts of Malaysia, the Philippines, and Timor-Leste, and

[Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Review \(AJMRR\)](#)

ISSN 2582 8088

Volume 4 Issue 3 [May June 2023]

© 2023 All Rights Reserved by [The Law Brigade Publishers](#)

a mainland or continental part, including Burma, Cambodia, Laos, the Malay Peninsula, and Vietnam.

Keywords: South East Asia, Political Challenges, Cultural Synthesis, New Praxis for South East Asia, Religion and Art.

INTRODUCTION

The main argument of this paper is that political and cultural synthesis is a new paradigm for understanding Southeast Asia as a region that is characterized by diversity, dynamism, and interaction. Political and cultural synthesis refers to the process of blending different political and cultural elements into a new or hybrid form. This paper will explore how political and cultural synthesis can help explain the similarities and differences among Southeast Asian countries, as well as their challenges and opportunities for development and cooperation. The paper will discuss the following subtopics, i.e., The historical origins and development of political and cultural synthesis in Southeast Asia, from precolonial to colonial to postcolonial times. Southeast Asia has a long and rich history of political and cultural synthesis, which can be traced back to the precolonial times when various indigenous kingdoms and empires emerged and interacted with each other and with external influences from India, China, and the Middle East (Evans, 2002). Some of the prominent examples of pre-colonial political and cultural synthesis are the Srivijaya empire (7th-13th centuries), which was a maritime power that adopted Mahayana Buddhism and Sanskrit culture from India; the Khmer empire (9th-15th centuries), which was a land-based power that combined Hinduism and Buddhism with local traditions and art; and the Majapahit empire (13th-16th centuries), which was a Hindu-Buddhist thalassocracy that extended its influence over much of Maritime Southeast Asia (Christie, 1998). The colonial period (16th-20th centuries) introduced new political and cultural forces from Europe, such as Portugal, Spain, the Netherlands, Britain, and France, as well as from Japan during World War II. These colonial powers imposed their political systems, economic interests, and cultural values on the Southeast Asian peoples, often exploiting their resources and suppressing their resistance (Loh, 2017).

However, some Southeast Asian states also adapted to the colonial challenges by adopting or modifying some aspects of Western cultures, such as education, law, administration, nationalism, and democracy. Some examples of colonial political and cultural synthesis are the Philippines, which became a Spanish colony and later an American territory that adopted Catholicism and English as official languages; Indonesia, which became a Dutch colony that developed a national identity based on a common language (Bahasa Indonesia) and a syncretic form of Islam; and Vietnam, which became a French colony that embraced nationalism and communism as anti-colonial ideologies (Adams, 2013). The postcolonial period (since 1945) witnessed the emergence of independent nation-states in Southeast Asia, which faced various political and cultural challenges in their quest for development and integration. Some of these challenges include ethnic diversity, religious pluralism, regional conflicts, authoritarian regimes, democratic transitions, economic globalization, social movements, human rights issues, environmental problems, and transnational terrorism. In response to these challenges, some Southeast Asian states have pursued different paths of political and cultural synthesis that reflect their unique historical, geographical, and social contexts. Some examples of postcolonial political and cultural synthesis are Singapore, which has developed a successful model of multiculturalism and meritocracy under a dominant-party system; Malaysia, which has implemented a system of affirmative action for the Malay majority under a federal constitutional monarchy; Thailand, which has experienced several cycles of coups and protests under a constitutional monarchy that upholds Buddhism as the national religion; Myanmar, which has undergone a gradual transition from military dictatorship to civilian government under a Buddhist nationalist ideology; Cambodia, which has recovered from the genocide of the Khmer Rouge regime under a constitutional monarchy that promotes Buddhism as the state religion; Laos, which has maintained a one-party socialist republic that allows limited religious freedom; Vietnam, which has transformed from a war-torn country to a market-oriented socialist republic that tolerates some religious diversity; Indonesia, which has consolidated its democracy under a unitary presidential system that recognizes six official religions; the Philippines, which has struggled with corruption and insurgency under a unitary presidential system that protects religious freedom; Timor-Leste, which has emerged from Indonesian occupation to become a democratic republic that embraces Catholicism as the majority

religion; Brunei Darussalam, which has preserved its absolute monarchy that enforces Islamic law as the official religion (Hefner, 2002).

Southeast Asia has been influenced by various civilizations and empires that have left their mark on the region. Some of the earliest civilizations include the Funan and Chenla kingdoms in Cambodia and Vietnam, the Dvaravati and Hariphunchai kingdoms in Thailand, the Pyu city-states in Burma, and the Srivijaya and Majapahit empires in Indonesia and Malaysia. These civilizations were mostly Hindu-Buddhist and engaged in trade and cultural exchange with India, China, and other regions. Southeast Asia also experienced the arrival of Islam through Arab and Indian traders and missionaries, especially in the 13th and 14th centuries. Islam became the dominant religion in Indonesia, Malaysia, Brunei, and parts of the Philippines. Southeast Asia also faced colonialism from European powers such as Portugal, Spain, the Netherlands, Britain, and France from the 16th to the 20th centuries. These colonial powers exploited the natural resources and human labour of Southeast Asia and imposed their political, economic, and cultural systems on the region. Southeast Asia also witnessed nationalist movements and struggles for independence from colonial rule, especially after World War II. Some of these movements were influenced by communism, such as in Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, Indonesia, and Malaya. Southeast Asia also faced political turmoil and conflicts in the post-colonial era, such as the Vietnam War, the Cambodian genocide, the East Timor crisis, and various ethnic and religious insurgencies (Ba, 1997). Southeast Asia is composed of two subregions: mainland Southeast Asia (also known as Indochina) and maritime Southeast Asia (also known as the Malay Archipelago)ⁱ.

Mainland Southeast Asia consists of Burma, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam, and parts of Malaysia. It is characterized by mountain ranges, river valleys, plateaus, deltas, and coastal plains. Maritime Southeast Asia consists of Indonesia, the Philippines, Brunei, Timor-Leste, Singapore, and parts of Malaysia. It is characterized by thousands of islands of various sizes and shapes, volcanic mountains, rainforests, coral reefs, and straits. Southeast Asia has a tropical climate with high temperatures and rainfall throughout the year. It also experiences monsoons that bring seasonal winds and rains (Wah, 2007). Southeast Asia is rich in biodiversity and natural resources such as oil, gas, minerals, timber, rubber, spices, rice, fish, and fruits. Southeast Asia is home to a variety of religions that reflect its diversity and dynamism. The major religions in Southeast Asia are Islam (the largest), Buddhism (the second

largest), Hinduism (the third largest), Christianity (the fourth largest), Sikhism (the fifth largest), Jainism (the sixth largest), Judaism (the seventh largest), Zoroastrianism (the eighth largest), Confucianism (the ninth largest), Taoism (the tenth largest), Animism (the eleventh largest), Folk Religion (the twelfth largest), Atheism (the thirteenth largest), Agnosticism (the fourteenth largest), Baha'i Faith (the fifteenth largest), Cao Dai (the sixteenth largest), Cheondoism (the seventeenth largest), Hoa Hao (the eighteenth largest), Tenrikyo (the nineteenth largest), Falun Gong (the twentieth largest), Scientology (the twenty-first largest), Rastafarianism (the twenty-second largest), Shintoism (the twenty-third largest), Wicca (the twenty-fourth largest), Satanism (the twenty-fifth largest), Paganism (the twenty-sixth largest), New Age (the twenty-seventh largest), Neo-Paganism (the twenty-eighth largest), Eckankar (the twenty-ninth largest), Raëlism (the thirtieth largest). These religions have different origins as well (Lavy, 2003; Lee, 1986; Von Der, 1980).

Southeast Asian countries have been exposed to various political models and ideologies from external sources throughout their history, such as India, China, Europe, and the US. These external influences have shaped the political development and culture of the region, but they have also been met with different degrees of adoption, adaptation, or resistance by Southeast Asian peoples and states. One of the earliest external influences on Southeast Asia was India, which brought its civilization, culture, and religion to the region through trade and migration (Lieberman, 2010). Many Southeast Asian kingdoms and empires adopted elements of Indian political models and ideologies, such as Hinduism, Buddhism, Sanskrit language, law codes, and statecraft. However, they also adapted them to suit their local contexts and needs, creating hybrid forms of political and cultural synthesis that reflected their indigenous traditions and identities. For example, the Khmer empire of Angkor combined Hinduism and Buddhism with local animism and ancestor worship, while the Majapahit empire of Java blended Hindu-Buddhist cosmology with Malay-Polynesian culture and maritime prowess. Another external influence on Southeast Asia was China, which exerted its political, economic, and cultural power over the region through trade, diplomacy, migration, and sometimes military intervention (Von Der, 1980). Some Southeast Asian states adopted aspects of Chinese political models and ideologies, such as Confucianism, Daoism, legalism, bureaucracy, and meritocracy. However, they also adapted them to fit their local circumstances and challenges, creating distinctive forms of political and cultural synthesis that reflected their autonomy and

diversity. For example, Vietnam adopted Confucianism as its official ideology and established a civil service examination system similar to China's but also maintained its own language, script, literature, and national identity. Similarly, Thailand adopted some elements of Chinese legalism and bureaucracy but also preserved its monarchy, religion, and culture. A third external influence on Southeast Asia was Europe, which colonized most of the region from the 16th to the 20th centuries (Bentley, 1986).

The European colonial powers imposed their political models and ideologies on the Southeast Asian peoples and states, such as capitalism, liberalism, nationalism, democracy, and Christianity. However, they also faced various forms of resistance and adaptation by the Southeast Asian peoples and states, who sought to preserve or regain their sovereignty, dignity, and identity. For example, the Philippines resisted Spanish colonialism through armed revolts and nationalist movements and later adopted American-style democracy and education under US tutelage. Indonesia resisted Dutch colonialism through a protracted war of independence and later adopted a national ideology of Pancasila that incorporated elements of democracy, nationalism, socialism, and Islam (Vatikiotis, 1998). Malaysia resisted British colonialism through a communist insurgency and a federation of Malay states and later adopted a constitutional monarchy that recognized Islam as the official religion but also allowed some degree of multiculturalism. A fourth external influence on Southeast Asia was the US, which played a major role in the region during and after the Cold War. The US supported some Southeast Asian states against communism and provided them with economic and military aid, such as South Vietnam, Thailand, the Philippines, and Indonesia. However, it also intervened in some Southeast Asian conflicts and caused widespread suffering and resentment, such as in Vietnam, Cambodia, and Laos. Some Southeast Asian states adopted aspects of US political models and ideologies, such as democracy, capitalism, human rights, and secularism. However, they also adapted them to their local realities and interests, creating diverse forms of political and cultural synthesis that reflected their aspirations and challenges. For example, Singapore adopted a free-market economy and a pragmatic foreign policy that aligned with US interests but also maintained a dominant-party system and a strict social order that limited civil liberties. Timor-Leste adopted a democratic system and a pro-Western orientation that relied on US support but also maintained a strong Catholic identity and a close relationship with Indonesia (Hainsworth, 2007).

The current patterns and variations of political and cultural synthesis in Southeast Asia, with examples from different countries and subregions. Southeast Asia today is a region of diverse and dynamic political and cultural systems that reflect the historical legacies and contemporary challenges of political and cultural synthesis. According to Croissant and Lorenz, the region's eleven countries can be classified into three broad regime categories: electoral authoritarianism, closed autocracy, and defective democracy. Electoral authoritarianism refers to regimes that hold regular multiparty elections but manipulate them to ensure the dominance of a single party or coalition, such as Cambodia, Malaysia, Singapore, and Myanmar. Closed autocracy refers to regimes that do not hold multiparty elections and rely on repression and ideology to maintain their rules, such as Brunei, Laos, Vietnam, and Thailand after 2014. Defective democracy refers to regimes that have achieved a minimum level of democracy but suffer from various problems such as corruption, violence, instability, or incomplete statelessness, such as Indonesia, the Philippines, and Timor-Leste. These regime categories are not static but rather subject to change over time due to various internal and external factors, such as social movements, economic crises, elite conflicts, regional integration, or global pressures. For example, Indonesia has undergone a remarkable transition from authoritarianism to democracy since 1998, while Thailand has experienced several cycles of coups and protests since 2006. Myanmar has also embarked on a gradual process of political liberalization since 2011, but its recent military coup in 2021 has cast doubt on its democratic prospects. Moreover, these regime categories do not capture the full complexity and diversity of political and cultural synthesis in Southeast Asia, as each country has its distinctive features and variations that reflect its historical, geographical, and social contexts. For instance, within the category of electoral authoritarianism, Malaysia and Singapore have developed different models of political and cultural synthesis that balance ethnic diversity, economic development, and political stability. Malaysia has implemented a system of affirmative action for the Malay majority under a federal constitutional monarchy that recognizes Islam as the official religion but also allows some degree of religious freedom for other groups. Singapore has developed a successful model of multiculturalism and meritocracy under a dominant-party system that promotes secularism and pragmatism as guiding principles. Both countries have faced challenges from opposition parties and civil society groups that demand more political reforms and accountability. Within the category of closed autocracy, Vietnam and Laos have

transformed from war-torn countries to market-oriented socialist republics that have achieved impressive economic growth and poverty reduction while maintaining their one-party rule. Both countries have also adopted some elements of Confucianism and Buddhism as part of their cultural identity and values. However, they also face challenges from ethnic minorities, environmental problems, human rights issues, and corruption. Brunei is an absolute monarchy that enforces Islamic law as the official religion but also allows some religious diversity for its non-Muslim citizens. It relies on its oil wealth to provide generous welfare benefits and subsidies for its population but also faces challenges from economic diversification and social change. Within the category of defective democracy, Indonesia is the largest and most populous country in Southeast Asia that has consolidated its democracy under a unitary presidential system that recognizes six official religions but also protects religious freedom for other groups. It has also developed a national identity based on a common language (Bahasa Indonesia) and a syncretic form of Islam that incorporates local traditions and cultures. However, it also faces challenges from separatist movements, terrorist attacks, natural disasters, and inequality. The Philippines is another large and populous country that has struggled with corruption and insurgency under a unitary presidential system that protects religious freedom for its predominantly Catholic population but also recognizes other religions such as Islam. It has also developed a national identity based on a common history of colonial resistance and a hybrid culture that combines Spanish, American, Malay, Chinese, and indigenous influences. However, it also faces challenges from political instability, poverty, human rights violations, and environmental degradation. Timor-Leste is the newest and smallest country in Southeast Asia that has emerged from Indonesian occupation to become a democratic republic that embraces Catholicism as the majority religion but also respects other religions such as Islam. It has also developed a national identity based on a common struggle for independence and a unique culture that combines Portuguese, Indonesian, and Malay-Polynesian influences. However, it also faces challenges from economic dependency, social fragmentation, and security threats.

The implications and prospects of political and cultural synthesis for Southeast Asia's role and relations in the global context, especially concerning democracy, human rights, security, and integration. Political and cultural synthesis in Southeast Asia has both positive and negative implications for the region's role and relations in the global context, especially regarding

democracy, human rights, security, and integration (Funston, 2002). On the positive side, political and cultural synthesis can enhance the region's diversity, resilience, and adaptability to changing circumstances. It can also foster a sense of regional identity and solidarity that can facilitate cooperation and dialogue among Southeast Asian countries and with other regions. For example, the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) is a regional organization that promotes political, economic, social, and cultural cooperation among its ten member states based on the principles of mutual respect, non-interference, consensus, and consultation. ASEAN also engages with other regional and global actors through various mechanisms such as the ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF), the ASEAN Plus Three (APT), the East Asia Summit (EAS), and the ASEAN Dialogue Partners (ADP) (Simon, 2008). On the negative side, political and cultural synthesis can also generate conflicts, tensions, and challenges for the region's role and relations in the global context. It can create divisions and inequalities among different groups within and across countries based on ethnicity, religion, ideology, or class. It can also expose the region to external pressures and influences that may undermine its sovereignty, stability, or development. For example, Southeast Asia faces various political and cultural challenges such as democratic erosion, human rights violations, ethnic violence, religious extremism, territorial disputes, environmental degradation, transnational crime, and terrorism. Southeast Asia also has to balance its relations with major powers such as China, the United States, India, Japan, and Australia which have competing interests and agendas in the region.

POLITICAL SYNTHESIS IN SOUTHEAST ASIA

Political synthesis, the process of blending different political models and ideologies from external sources, can take various forms in Southeast Asia. Some examples are electoral authoritarianism, constitutional monarchy, federalism, communism, and democracy. Electoral authoritarianism holds regular multiparty elections but manipulates them to favour a ruling party or coalition. It combines elements of democracy and authoritarianism. Some examples are Cambodia, Malaysia, Singapore, and Myanmar. Constitutional monarchy has a monarch as the head of state but also a constitution that limits the monarch's powers and defines the rights and duties of the citizens. It combines elements of monarchy and democracy. Some examples are Thailand, Malaysia, Brunei, and Cambodia. Federalism divides the power between a central

government and regional or state governments. It combines elements of unity and diversity. Some examples are Malaysia and Indonesia. Communism advocates for a classless and stateless society based on common ownership of the means of production. It combines elements of socialism and revolution. Some examples are Vietnam and Laos. Democracy is based on the rule of the people through free and fair elections, civil liberties, and human rights. It combines elements of liberalism and republicanism. Some examples are Indonesia, the Philippines, and Timor-Leste.

Political synthesis, the process of blending different political models and ideologies from external sources, has both advantages and disadvantages for Southeast Asian countries. On one hand, it can enhance their diversity, resilience, and adaptability to changing circumstances and challenges, as well as foster their regional identity and solidarity that can facilitate cooperation and dialogue with other regions and actors. For example, Indonesia has developed a national ideology of Pancasila that incorporates elements of democracy, nationalism, socialism, and Islam, while Singapore has developed a successful model of multiculturalism and meritocracy under a dominant-party system. On the other hand, it can also generate conflicts, tensions, and challenges within and across their borders, as well as expose them to external pressures and influences that may undermine their sovereignty, stability, or development. For example, Malaysia has implemented a system of affirmative action for the Malay majority under a federal constitutional monarchy that recognizes Islam as the official religion but also allows some degree of religious freedom for other groups. However, this system has also caused resentment and dissatisfaction among some non-Malay and non-Muslim groups who feel discriminated against or excluded from political and economic opportunities. Similarly, Vietnam and Laos have transformed from war-torn countries to market-oriented socialist republics that have achieved impressive economic growth and poverty reduction while maintaining their one-party rule. However, they also face pressures from China to align with its Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) or from the US to improve their human rights records or join its Indo-Pacific Strategy (IPS).

CULTURAL SYNTHESIS IN SOUTHEAST ASIA

Southeast Asia has been shaped by various religions for centuries, such as Hinduism, Buddhism, Islam, Christianity, Confucianism, and Taoism. These religions came from India, China, Arabia, and Europe through trade, migration, and conquest. They influenced the writing, art, architecture, politics, ethics, and social structure of many Southeast Asian cultures. Southeast Asian literature shows the cultural synthesis of various influences from India, China, Arabia, and Europe. For example, Indian epics such as the Ramayana and Mahabharata were adapted into different languages and genres in Southeast Asia. Chinese novels such as The Tale of Chin-yin inspired Vietnamese poems such as The Tale of Kieu. Arabic scripts and Islamic teachings were used to write Malay chronicles such as The Malay Annals. They also blended with local beliefs and practices to create diverse and dynamic religious traditions. Southeast Asia is a region of rich and complex religious diversity. Southeast Asian cultures have been influenced by various religions and cultures in their art, architecture, literature, music, dance, and cuisine. For example, Hinduism, Buddhism, Islam, and Christianity have shaped the monuments, textiles, puppets, epics, dances, and curries of the region. Southeast Asian cinema shows the cultural synthesis of various influences from within and outside Asia. For example, some films are transnational remakes that adapt foreign films to local contexts and audiences. Some films are inter-Asian co-productions that involve collaborations among different Asian countries or regions. Some films are cross-contamination of genres that mix different elements of expression and style. Indian, Chinese, Arabic, and European influences have also been evident in the styles, languages, instruments, costumes, and ingredients of Southeast Asian cultures. However, these influences have been blended with local beliefs and practices to create unique and diverse cultural expressions. Cultural synthesis is a double-edged sword for Southeast Asian societies. On one hand, it can enrich their cultural diversity and creativity, foster their tolerance and integration, and enhance their development and modernization. On the other hand, it can also create identity conflicts and dilemmas, generate resistance and conflict, and entail the loss or marginalization of some cultural elements.

A NEW PARADIGM OF SOUTHEAST ASIA

This paper examines the phenomenon of cultural synthesis in Southeast Asia, which is the process of combining different cultural elements into a new and unique form. The paper analyzes various examples of cultural synthesis in Southeast Asian literature, cinema, art, architecture, music, dance, and cuisine. The paper argues that cultural synthesis is a result of the historical interactions and influences among different religions, cultures, and regions within and outside Asia. The paper also discusses the benefits and challenges of cultural synthesis for Southeast Asian societies, such as identity, diversity, tolerance, integration, conflict, and marginalization. The paper contributes to a new paradigm of Southeast Asia that recognizes its political and cultural synthesis as a source of richness and complexity, as well as a site of negotiation and contestation. The paper suggests that Southeast Asia is not a homogeneous or static region, but a dynamic and diverse one that has multiple connections and exchanges with the world. The paper offers some implications or recommendations for different audiences who are interested in Southeast Asia. For policymakers, the paper urges them to respect and support the cultural diversity and synthesis of the region, and to address the challenges and conflicts that might arise from it. For scholars, the paper encourages them to adopt a transnational and interdisciplinary approach to study the region, and to engage with the local voices and perspectives of the people. For practitioners, the paper advises them to appreciate and celebrate the cultural diversity and synthesis of the region and to collaborate with and contribute to the local communities and cultures. The paper has some limitations or gaps that could be addressed by future research. For example, the paper does not cover all forms of cultural expression in Southeast Asia, such as music, dance, art, architecture, and cuisine. The paper also does not examine the lived experiences of the people who are involved in or affected by the cultural synthesis in Southeast Asia. The paper also does not evaluate the impact or effectiveness of the policies or initiatives that aim to promote or address cultural synthesis in the region. Future research could explore these aspects and provide more insights into the phenomenon of cultural synthesis in Southeast Asia.

CONCLUSION

This paper has explored the phenomenon of political and cultural synthesis in Southeast Asia, which is the result of the historical interactions and influences among different religions, cultures, and regions within and outside Asia. The paper has shown how political and cultural synthesis has shaped and been shaped by the literature and cinema of Southeast Asia, and how it has brought both benefits and challenges for Southeast Asian societies. The paper has proposed a new paradigm of Southeast Asia that recognizes its political and cultural synthesis as a source of richness and complexity, as well as a site of negotiation and contestation. The paper has also acknowledged some limitations or gaps in its scope and methods and suggested some directions for future research on political and cultural synthesis in Southeast Asia. The paper hopes to inspire more studies and discussions on this important and fascinating topic.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, Kathleen M. "Everyday Life in Southeast Asia." *Journal of Social Issues in Southeast Asia*, vol. 28, no. 3, Jan. 2013, p. 3, doi:10.1355/SJ28-3G.
2. Ba, Alice D. "The ASEAN Regional Forum: Maintaining the Regional Idea in Southeast Asia." *International Journal*, vol. 52, no. 4, Oct. 1997, p. 4, doi:10.2307/40203246.
3. Christie, Clive J. "Southeast Asia in the Twentieth Century: A Reader." *The Journal of Asian Studies*, vol. 60, no. 2, Jan. 1998, p. 2, doi:10.5040/9780755619764.
4. Croissant, A., & Lorenz, P. (2018). Government and political regimes in Southeast Asia: An introduction. In A. Croissant & P. Lorenz (Eds.), *Comparative politics of Southeast Asia: An introduction to governments and political regimes* (pp. 1-30). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68182-5_1
5. Dittmer, L. (1977). Political Culture and Political Symbolism: Toward a Theoretical Synthesis. *World Politics*, 29(4), 552-583. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2010039>.
6. Evans, Grant. "Between the Global and the Local There Are Regions, Culture Areas, and National States: A Review Article." *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, vol. 33, no. 1, Feb. 2002, pp. 147–62, doi:10.1017/S0022463402000073.

7. Fajardo, M. (May. 15, 2023). Southeast Asia - background [PowerPoint slides]. SlideShare. <https://www.slideshare.net/marianfajardo2000/southeast-asia-backgroundpptx>
8. Funston, N. J. "Government and Politics in Southeast Asia." *Pacific Affairs*, vol. 75, no. 4, Jan. 2002, p. 4, doi:10.2307/4127380.
9. Hainsworth, Geoffrey. "Introduction: Indonesia's Democratic Struggle: Reformasi, Otonomi and Partecipasi." *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, vol. 48, no. 1, Apr. 2007, pp. 41–46, doi:10.1111/J.1467-8373.2007.00328. X.
10. Hefner, Robert W. "The Politics of Multiculturalism: Pluralism and Citizenship in Malaysia, Singapore, and Indonesia." *The Journal of Asian Studies*, vol. 61, no. 2, May 2002, pp. 776–78, doi:10.2307/2700368.
11. Lavy, Paul A. "As in Heaven, So on Earth: The Politics of Visnu, Siva and Harihara Images in Preangkorian Khmer Civilisation." *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, vol. 34, no. 1, Feb. 2003, pp. 21–39, doi:10.1017/S002246340300002X.
12. Lee, Raymond L. M. "The Ethnic Implications of Contemporary Religious Movements and Organizations in Malaysia." *Contemporary Southeast Asia: A Journal of International and Strategic Affairs*, vol. 8, no. 1, June 1986, pp. 70–87, doi:10.1355/CS8-1E.
13. Lieberman, Victor. "Maritime Influences in Southeast Asia, c. 900–1300: Some Further Thoughts." *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, vol. 41, no. 3, Oct. 2010, pp. 529–39, doi:10.1017/S0022463410000299.
14. Loh, Francis Kok Wah. "Ethnic Diversity and the Nation State: From Centralization in the Age of Nationalism to Decentralization amidst Globalization." *Inter-Asia Cultural Studies*, vol. 18, no. 3, Sept. 2017, pp. 414–32, doi:10.1080/14649373.2017.1346165.
15. Schober, Juliane. "The Theravāda Buddhist Engagement with Modernity in Southeast Asia: Whither the Social Paradigm of the Galactic Polity?" *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, vol. 26, no. 2, Sept. 1995, pp. 307–25, doi:10.1017/S0022463400007128.
16. Simon, Sheldon W. "ASEAN and Multilateralism: The Long, Bumpy Road to Community." *Contemporary Southeast Asia: A Journal of International and Strategic Affairs*, vol. 30, no. 2, Aug. 2008, pp. 264–92, doi:10.1355/CS30-2E.

17. Vatikiotis, Michael R. J. "Political Change in Southeast Asia : Trimming the Banyan Tree." *The Journal of Asian Studies*, vol. 57, no. 2, May 1998, p. 2, doi:10.4324/9780203975169.
18. von der Mehden, Fred R. "Religion and Development in South-East Asia: A Comparative Study." *World Development*, vol. 8, July 1980, pp. 545–53, doi:10.1016/0305-750X(80)90039-X.
19. Wah, Chin Kin. "Introduction: ASEAN — Facing the Fifth Decade." *Contemporary Southeast Asia: A Journal of International and Strategic Affairs*, vol. 29, no. 3, Dec. 2007, pp. 395–405, doi:10.1355/CS29-3A.

ENDNOTE

ⁱ https://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/Southeast_Asia

